

# UNITED STATEDEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/646,939 11/14/00 MULROONEY C 7146-106 **EXAMINER** HM22/0925 THOMAS Q HENRY CHUNDURU,S WOODARD EMHARDT NAUGHTON MORIARTY & MCNE PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 111 MONUMENT CIRCLE SUITE 3700 BANK ONE TOWER 1656 INDIANAPOLIS IN 64204 DATE MAILED: 09/25/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

,•				
	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/646,939	MULROONEY, CONOR	
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Suryaprabha Chunduru	1656	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
THE - Ext afte - If th - If N - Fail - Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication be period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a . I reply within the statutory minimum of thi inod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
3tatus 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	24 July 2001 .		
2a)□		This action is non-final.	•	
3)				
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4)🛛	4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are allowed.				
6)区	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,7,8,15,17 and 20</u> is/are rejected.			
7)🛛	7) Claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applica	tion Papers		•	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Pri rity	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
а	)			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in a	Application No	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>				
Attachme	nt(s)			
2) 🔲 Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	) 5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/646,939

Art Unit: 1656

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicants' response to the office action (Paper No. 8) filed on July 19, 2001 has been entered and considered.
- 2. Applicants' response to the office action (Paper No. 8) has been fully considered but deemed persuasive in part.
- 3. The objection made in the previous office action has been withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendment (paper No. 8).
- 4. The rejection made under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) has been withdrawn in view of Applicants' arguments and amendment (Paper No. 8).

# **New Grounds of Rejection**

- 5a. Claim 19 and 20 are objected for improper ending of the claims in step (e) by incorporation of a period. Correction is required.
- 5b. Claim 19 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 1. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/646,939

Art Unit: 1656

- a) Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 2 is unclear and confusing for reciting "in situ" generation of single stranded nucleic acids. Claim does not provide any source for in situ (in vivo or in vitro) generation of single stranded nucleic acids. The rejection may be overcome by amending the claim to recite clear identity of the term "in situ".
- b) Claims 7-8, 17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 7-8, 17 and 20 unclear and confusing for reciting "at least a portion.....". Claims do not provide what the term "at least a portion" specifies for. The rejection may be overcome by amending the claim to recite the clear identity of the term.
- c) Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 15 is unclear and vague for reciting "a partial degree of resistance to digestion". Claim 15 is vague because it does not provide to what extent a partial degree of resistance to digestion it accomplishes for.

Claims 1 is allowable.

Claims 3-6, 9-14, 16 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/646,939

Art Unit: 1656

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suryaprabha Chunduru whose telephone number is 703-305-1004. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30A.M. - 4.30P.M, Mon - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones can be reached on 703-308-1152. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-0294 for regular communications and - for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Suryaprabha Chunduru September 13, 2001

> EGGERTON A. CAMPBELL PRIMARY EXAMINER